

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,189	10/14/2003	Steven J. Visco	PLUSP027	3774	
22434 7	590 06/20/2006		EXAM	INER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			CANTELMO, GREGG		
	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Į.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,189	VISCO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	•
application from the International Bure	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	· -		

Application/Control Number: 10/686,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33, drawn to an electrochemical device component having a twolayer separator, classified in class 429, subclass 247.
 - II. Claims 34-47, drawn to a 2-layer separator, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1+.
 - III. Claims 47-61, drawn to a method of making an electrode, classified in class 29, subclass 623.3.
- IV. Claims 62-72, drawn to a battery, classified in class 429, subclass 231.95. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination the separator has separate utility such as a two-layer film in semiconductor devices, selective ion exchange membranes, etc. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can

Application/Control Number: 10/686,189

Art Unit: 1745

be made by other processes such as PVD, CVD, laser ablation, sintering, etc.

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of the electrochemical element of Group I has separate utility such as a cathodic electrochemical element (whereas Group IV requires the electrochemical element to be the anode). Furthermore the electrochemical element of Group I can be used in other solid-state devices such as capacitors. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

3. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by other processes such as PVD, CVD, laser ablation, sintering, etc. and further the product can be made to preclude an active metal anode as required in the process. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, the subcombination separator of Group II has separate utility such as a two-layer film in semiconductor devices, selective ion exchange membranes, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

4. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by other processes such as PVD, CVD, laser ablation, sintering, etc.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

UPON ELECTION OF GROUP I:

Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species for each of the active metal electrode, first and second material layers, and electrolyte. The election must also list all claims readable on the elected species.

UPON ELECTION OF GROUP II:

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species for each of first and second

material layers. The election must also list all claims readable on the elected species.

UPON ELECTION OF GROUP III:

Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species for each of the active metal

electrode, and first and second material layers. The election must also list all claims

readable on the elected species.

UPON ELECTION OF GROUP IV:

Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species for each of the active metal

electrode, first and second material layers and positive electrode. The election must

also list all claims readable on the elected species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, the following claims are generic:

Group I: Claims 1-14;

Group II: Claims 34-39;

Group III: Claims 47 and 48;

Group IV: No claims are generic because the genus for the positive electrode materials

is not coextensive in scope (note that claim 72 includes a larger genus of positive

electrode materials).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/686,189

Art Unit: 1745

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. James E. Austin on or about June 5, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1745

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is 571-272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

gc V V June 16, 2006